

WO 2003/086675 A3



GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MY, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) **Designated States (regional):** ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

Published:

— with international search report

— before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

(88) **Date of publication of the international search report:**

5 August 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/06544

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER												
IPC(7) : E21B 19/16												
US CL : 166/380, 85.3, 309, 387, 72, 73; 285/382.7, 398												
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC												
B. FIELDS SEARCHED												
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)												
U.S. : 166/380, 85.3, 309, 387, 72, 73, 187, 195, 206, 207, 212, 216, 217; 285/382.7, 398, 55, 388.1												
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched												
None												
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)												
EAST												
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT												
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.										
A	US 6,405,761 B1 (SHIMIZU et al) 18 June 2002, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 5,971,443 A (NOEL et al) 26 October 1999, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 5,309,621 A (O'DONNELL et al) 10 May 1994, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 3,997,193 A (TSUDA et al) 14 December 1976, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 3,989,280 A (SCHWARZ) 02 November 1976, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 3,834,742 A (MCPhillips) 10 September 1974, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 3,579,805 A (KAST) 25 May 1971, see entire document	1-120										
A	US 2,647,847 A (BLACK et al) 04 August 1953, see entire document	1-120										
X	US 4,693,498 A (BLAUGH et al) 15 september 1987, see Fig. 2a and 2b.	54										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.												
* Special categories of cited documents: <table border="0"> <tr> <td>*A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>*T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>*X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>*Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td>*Z" document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			*A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	*X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	*Z" document member of the same patent family	*P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
*A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention											
*E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date	*X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone											
*L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art											
*O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	*Z" document member of the same patent family											
*P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed												
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report										
30 July 2003 (30.07.2003)		09 JUN 2004										
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US		Authorized officer										
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		Roger J. Schoepfel										
Commissioner for Patents												
P.O. Box 1450		Telephone No. (703) 306-4180										
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450												
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230												

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US03/06544

C. (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6,275,556 B1 (KINNEY et al) 14 August 2001. see Fig. 3	54

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US03/06544

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Continuation Sheet

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US03/06544

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The independent claims of the Group I inventions all require the radial-plastic deformation of a jointed tubular connection whereas the independent claims of Group II inventions make no such requirement. Group III claims differ in that the independent claims require the radial-plastic deformation of the tubular connections in a method and/or apparatus used in extracting geothermal energy from a geothermal well whereas the Group IV invention makes no such deformation requirement. The Group I and II claims further differ in requiring tubular connections involving internal or external tubular threads with corresponding internal or external threaded sleeve ends. The independent claims of Groups III and IV all require their use as a liner in the completion of a well to be used in extracting geothermal energy.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-53, drawn to a "Method."

Group II, claim(s) 54-110, drawn to an "Apparatus."

Group III, claim(s) 111-119, drawn to a "Method and Apparatus for Extracting Geothermal Energy."

Group IV, claim(s) 120, drawn to an "Apparatus for Extracting Geothermal Energy."